

SOLUTIONS

- Lee's exercise 8.8

$$(a) F^*(r\sigma + \tau)_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (r\sigma + \tau)_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) = r\sigma_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) + \tau_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) = rF^*\sigma_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) + F^*\tau_p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$(b) F^*(f\sigma)_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (f\sigma)_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) = f(F(p))\sigma_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) = (f \circ F)(p)F^*\sigma_p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$(c) F^*(\sigma \otimes \tau)_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = (\sigma \otimes \tau)_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) = \sigma_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) \otimes \tau_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) = F^*\sigma_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) \otimes F^*\tau_p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$(d) (G \circ F)^*\omega_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \omega_{(G \circ F)(p)}((G \circ F)_*(X_1), \dots, (G \circ F)_*(X_n)) = \omega_{G(F(p))}((G_* \circ F_*)(X_1), \dots, (G_* \circ F_*)(X_n)) = (G^*\omega)_{F(p)}(F_*(X_1), \dots, F_*(X_n)) = (F^*(G^*\omega))_p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

$$(e) Id^*\tau_p(X_1, \dots, X_n) = \tau_{Id(p)}(Id_*(X_1), \dots, Id_*(X_n)) = \tau_p(X_1, \dots, X_n)$$

- Lee's exercise 8.9

(a) \rightarrow (b) If $\sigma \in S_k$ is any permutation then we claim that $T(X_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, X_{\sigma(k)})$ is $T(X_1, \dots, X_k)$. Indeed, start by exchanging $X_{\sigma(1)}$ with X_1 , since T is symmetric the value of T remains the same, if we keep doing this until we reach X_k , the value remains the same.

(b) \rightarrow (a) Just take the permutation that send i to j .

(b) \rightarrow (c) Let $T = \sum T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} \epsilon^{i_1} \otimes \dots \otimes \epsilon^{i_k}$, then $T_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_k} = T(E_1, \dots, E_k)$. Since $T(E_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, E_{\sigma(k)}) = T(E_1, \dots, E_k)$, we have that $T_{i_1 \dots i_k} = T_{\sigma(i_1) \sigma(i_2) \dots \sigma(i_k)}$.

(c) \rightarrow (a) Take $\sigma = (ij)$, then $T(E_1, \dots, E_i, \dots, E_j, \dots, E_k) = T(E_1, \dots, E_j, \dots, E_i, \dots, E_k)$, since T is k -linear we can extend this property for any $X = \sum E_j$.

- Lee's 8.3

Let $f : V^* \otimes W \rightarrow Hom(V, W)$ be the map $f(T \otimes w)(p) = T(p)w$, since W is a vector space, f is clearly linear. We claim that f is injective, if $f(T \otimes w) = 0$ then for every $p \in V$, $T(p)w = 0$, if $w \neq 0$ then $T(p) = 0$ for every p , i.e $T = 0$, hence $f(T \otimes w) = 0$ implies $T \otimes w = 0 \otimes w = T \otimes 0 = 0$. Since $dim(Hom(V, W)) = dim(V) \times dim(W)$, by the rank-nullity theorem f is a bijection. Let $\{v_i\}$ be a basis for V with dual basis $\{v_i^*\}$, we set $f^{-1}(T) = \sum v_i^* \otimes T(v_i)$. We check that f^{-1} is the inverse of f : $f(f^{-1}(T))(p) = f(\sum v_i^* \otimes T(v_i))(p) = \sum f(v_i^* \otimes T(v_i))(p) = \sum v_i^*(p)T(v_i) = T(\sum v_i^*(p)v_i) = T(p) \Rightarrow f(f^{-1}(T)) = T$. Conversely, $f^{-1}(f(T \otimes w)) = \sum v_i^* \otimes f(T \otimes w)(v_i) = \sum v_i^* \otimes T(v_i)w = (\sum T(v_i)v_i^*) \otimes w = T \otimes w$. Since the tensor product is linear in its entries, f^{-1} is linear. Hence, f is an isomorphism.

- Lee's 8.4

Evaluating both sides at $(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}}, \dots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}})$ we get:

$$\sigma_{i_1 \dots i_k} = \sum_J \bar{\sigma}_{j_1 \dots j_k} d\bar{x}^{j_1} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_1}} \right) \otimes \dots \otimes d\bar{x}^{j_k} \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i_k}} \right) = \sum_J \bar{\sigma}_{j_1 \dots j_k} \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{j_1}}{\partial x_{i_1}} \dots \frac{\partial \bar{x}^{j_k}}{\partial x_{i_k}}$$

- Lee's 8.7

Let $\{\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_n\}$ be a dual basis for V . Then as a vector space, $\Sigma^k(V)$ is generated by the collection $\epsilon_{i_1} \odot \dots \odot \epsilon_{i_k}$ with $1 \leq i_1 \leq \dots \leq i_k \leq n$ (repetitions allowed). The number of such elements is then the number of multisets of length k taken from a set of length n . From combinatorics we know that this is $\binom{n+k-1}{k}$. This is often called informally "stars and bars theorem". To see why this is true, we want to count the number of k -arrangements of stars separated by $n-1$ bars, or we want to choose k elements of a set with $k+(n-1)$ elements.